

Higher Commutators

- Some Results and Open Problems -

Nebojša Mudrinski

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad, Serbia,
nmudrinski@dmi.uns.ac.rs

Novi Sad, 22th November 2010

Centralizing Property and Commutators

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then we say that α_1 centralize α_2 modulo η if for all polynomials $f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ vectors from \mathbf{A} such that: $\mathbf{a}_1 \equiv \mathbf{b}_1 \pmod{\alpha_1}$, $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} \pmod{\alpha_2}$ and

$$f(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta},$$

we have

$$f(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}.$$

Centralizing Property and Commutators

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then we say that α_1 centralize α_2 modulo η if for all polynomials $f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ vectors from \mathbf{A} such that: $\mathbf{a}_1 \equiv \mathbf{b}_1 \pmod{\alpha_1}$, $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} \pmod{\alpha_2}$ and

$$f(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta},$$

we have

$$f(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}.$$

Definition. (Freese, Gumm, Hagemann, Herrmann, Hobby, Kiss, McKenzie,...) $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] := \bigwedge \{ \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \mid C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \eta) \}$

Another Definition: Matrix Form

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbf{A}^n$, $\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^m$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2 \times 2}$ generated by:

Another Definition: Matrix Form

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbf{A}^n$, $\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^m$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2 \times 2}$ generated by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Another Definition: Matrix Form

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbf{A}^n$, $\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^m$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2 \times 2}$ generated by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1) \in \alpha_1$ and $(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \alpha_2$.

Another Definition: Matrix Form

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbf{A}^n$, $\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^m$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2 \times 2}$ generated by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1) \in \alpha_1$ and $(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \alpha_2$.

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ is the smallest congruence η of \mathbf{A} such that

Another Definition: Matrix Form

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1 \in \mathbf{A}^n$, $\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2 \in \mathbf{A}^m$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2 \times 2}$ generated by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{b}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1) \in \alpha_1$ and $(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \alpha_2$.

Definition. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ is the smallest congruence η of \mathbf{A} such that

$$\text{if } (x_{11}, x_{12}) \in \eta \text{ then } (x_{21}, x_{22}) \in \eta$$

for all $\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Ternary Case $C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \eta)$

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta$ be congruences of \mathbf{A} . Then we say that α, β centralize γ modulo η if for every polynomial $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ vectors from \mathbf{A} such that: $\mathbf{a} \equiv \mathbf{b} \pmod{\alpha}$, $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{d} \pmod{\beta}$, $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} \pmod{\gamma}$ and

$$f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}$$

$$f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}$$

$$f(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta},$$

we have $f(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}$.

Higher Centralizing Property and Higher Commutators

Definition. (Bulatov $C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \eta)$) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then we say that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ centralize α_n modulo η if for all polynomials $f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ vectors from \mathbf{A} such that: $\mathbf{a}_i \equiv \mathbf{b}_i \pmod{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} \pmod{\alpha_n}$ and

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta},$$

for all $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \in \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}\}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \neq (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1})$, we have

$$f(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}.$$

Higher Centralizing Property and Higher Commutators

Definition. (Bulatov $C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \eta)$) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then we say that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ centralize α_n modulo η if for all polynomials $f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ vectors from \mathbf{A} such that: $\mathbf{a}_i \equiv \mathbf{b}_i \pmod{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} \pmod{\alpha_n}$ and

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta},$$

for all $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \in \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}\}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \neq (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1})$, we have

$$f(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv f(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}, \mathbf{v}) \pmod{\eta}.$$

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] := \bigwedge \{ \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \mid C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \eta) \}$$

Higher Commutator Relations

Definition. (J. Shaw, 2008) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2^{n-1} \times 2}$ generated by:

Higher Commutator Relations

Definition. (J. Shaw, 2008) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2^{n-1} \times 2}$ generated by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_1 \\ b_1 & b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ b_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ b_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_n & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Higher Commutator Relations

Definition. (J. Shaw, 2008) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{2^{n-1} \times 2}$ generated by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_1 \\ b_1 & b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ b_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ b_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_n & b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $(a_i, b_i) \in \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition. (J. Shaw, 2008) $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is the smallest congruence η of \mathbf{A} such that

Definition. (J. Shaw, 2008) $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is the smallest congruence η of \mathbf{A} such that

$(x_{i1}, x_{i2}) \in \eta$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1\}$, then $(x_{2^{n-1}1}, x_{2^{n-1}2}) \in \eta$

for all
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{2^{n-1}1} & x_{2^{n-1}2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Definition. (J. Shaw, 2008) $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is the smallest congruence η of \mathbf{A} such that

$(x_{i1}, x_{i2}) \in \eta$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1\}$, then $(x_{2^{n-1}1}, x_{2^{n-1}2}) \in \eta$

for all
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{2^{n-1}1} & x_{2^{n-1}2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Two definitions are equivalent!

Absorbing Polynomials

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p : A^k \rightarrow A$, let $(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in A^k$, and let $o \in A$. Then p is **absorbing at (a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) with value o** if for all $(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in A^k$ we have: if there is an $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $x_i = a_i$, then $p(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = p(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})$, and $p(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) = o$.

Absorbing Polynomials

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p : A^k \rightarrow A$, let $(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in A^k$, and let $o \in A$. Then p is **absorbing at (a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) with value o** if for all $(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in A^k$ we have: if there is an $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $x_i = a_i$, then $p(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = p(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})$, and $p(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) = o$.

Definition. Let \mathbf{V} be an expanded group and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A polynomial $p \in \text{Pol}_n \mathbf{V}$ is **absorbing** if

$$p(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = p(x_1, 0, \dots, x_n) = \dots = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, 0) = 0,$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$.

Definition With Absorbing Polynomials

Proposition. [2] Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra with a Mal'cev term m , $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ congruences of \mathbf{A} and $n \geq 0$. Then $[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is generated as a congruence by the set

Definition With Absorbing Polynomials

Proposition. [2] Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra with a Mal'cev term m , $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ congruences of \mathbf{A} and $n \geq 0$. Then $[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n]$ is generated as a congruence by the set

$$T = \{ (c(b_0, \dots, b_n), c(a_0, \dots, a_n)) \mid b_0, \dots, b_n, a_0, \dots, a_n \in A,$$

$$\forall i : a_i \equiv_{\alpha_i} b_i, c \in \text{Pol}_{n+1}\mathbf{A} \text{ and}$$

$$c|_{\{a_0, b_0\} \times \dots \times \{a_n, b_n\}} \text{ is absorbing at } (a_0, \dots, a_n) \}.$$

Ideals and Congruences

Definition. An ideal of expanded group $(V, +, -, 0, F)$ is a normal subgroup I of the group $(V, +)$ such that $f(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}) - f(\mathbf{a}) \in I$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all k -ary fundamental operations $f \in F$ and all $\mathbf{a} \in V^k, \mathbf{i} \in I^k$.

Ideals and Congruences

Definition. An ideal of expanded group $(V, +, -, 0, F)$ is a normal subgroup I of the group $(V, +)$ such that $f(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{i}) - f(\mathbf{a}) \in I$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all k -ary fundamental operations $f \in F$ and all $\mathbf{a} \in V^k, \mathbf{i} \in I^k$.

Proposition. Let \mathbf{V} be an expanded group and let $I \in \text{Id}\mathbf{V}$. Then

$$\gamma_V(I) := \{(v_1, v_2) \in V^2 \mid v_1 - v_2 \in I\}$$

is an isomorphism from $(\text{Id}\mathbf{V}, \cap, +)$ to $(\text{Con}\mathbf{V}, \wedge, \vee)$

Ternary Case in Expanded Groups

Definition. (S. Scott) If $A, B \in \text{Id } \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{V} = \langle V, +, F \rangle$ then the ideal $[A, B]$ is generated by the set

$$\{p(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B, p \in \text{Pol}_2 \mathbf{V}\}$$

such that $p(x, y) = 0$ whenever $x = 0 \vee y = 0$.

Ternary Case in Expanded Groups

Definition. (S. Scott) If $A, B \in \text{Id } \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{V} = \langle V, +, F \rangle$ then the ideal $[A, B]$ is generated by the set

$$\{p(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B, p \in \text{Pol}_2 \mathbf{V}\}$$

such that $p(x, y) = 0$ whenever $x = 0 \vee y = 0$.

If $A, B, C \in \text{Id } \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{V} = \langle V, +, F \rangle$ then the ideal $[A, B, C]$ is generated by the set

$$\{p(a, b, c) \mid a \in A, b \in B, c \in C, p \in \text{Pol}_3 \mathbf{V}\}$$

such that $p(x, y, z) = 0$ whenever $x = 0 \vee y = 0 \vee z = 0$.

Higher Commutator Ideals

Definition. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In an expanded group \mathbf{V} for $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{Id}\mathbf{V}$ we define the n -ary commutator ideal of A_1, \dots, A_n , in abbreviation $[A_1, \dots, A_n]_{\mathbf{V}}$, as an ideal of \mathbf{V} generated by

$$\{p(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n, p \text{ is absorbing}\}.$$

Higher Commutator Ideals

Definition. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In an expanded group \mathbf{V} for $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{Id}\mathbf{V}$ we define the n -ary commutator ideal of A_1, \dots, A_n , in abbreviation $[A_1, \dots, A_n]_{\mathbf{V}}$, as an ideal of \mathbf{V} generated by

$$\{p(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n, p \text{ is absorbing}\}.$$

Theorem. [2] Let \mathbf{V} be an expanded group and $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{Id}\mathbf{V}$ and $\gamma_{\mathbf{V}}(A_1), \dots, \gamma_{\mathbf{V}}(A_n) \in \text{Con}\mathbf{V}$ the corresponding congruences of \mathbf{V} . Then

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{V}}([A_1, \dots, A_n]) = [\gamma_{\mathbf{V}}(A_1), \dots, \gamma_{\mathbf{V}}(A_n)].$$

Generalized Properties of Binary Commutator

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

(HC1) $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$

Generalized Properties of Binary Commutator

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

Generalized Properties of Binary Commutator

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

$$(HC4) \quad \text{If } \pi \text{ is any permutation of } \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ then} \\ [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = [\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}].$$

Generalized Properties of Binary Commutator

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

$$(HC4) \quad \text{If } \pi \text{ is any permutation of } \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ then}$$

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = [\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}].$$

$$(HC5) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \eta \text{ iff } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \eta)$$

Generalized Properties of Binary Commutator

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

$$(HC4) \quad \text{If } \pi \text{ is any permutation of } \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ then}$$

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = [\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}].$$

$$(HC5) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \eta \text{ iff } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \eta)$$

$$(HC6) \quad \text{If } \eta \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{ then}$$

$$[\alpha_1/\eta, \dots, \alpha_n/\eta] = ([\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \vee \eta)/\eta$$

Generalized Properties of Binary Commutator

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

$$(HC4) \quad \text{If } \pi \text{ is any permutation of } \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ then}$$

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = [\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}].$$

$$(HC5) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \eta \text{ iff } C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \eta)$$

$$(HC6) \quad \text{If } \eta \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{ then}$$

$$[\alpha_1/\eta, \dots, \alpha_n/\eta] = ([\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \vee \eta)/\eta$$

$$(HC7) \quad \bigvee_{i \in I} [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \rho_i, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_n] =$$

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \bigvee_{i \in I} \rho_i, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_n].$$

Some Extra Properties

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

(HC3) $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$

Some Extra Properties

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC3) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$$

$$(HC8) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, [\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_k]] \leq [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k].$$

Some Extra Properties

Proposition. [2] If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence permutable variety then

$$(HC3) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$$

$$(HC8) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, [\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_k]] \leq [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k].$$

In general the equality in (HC8) is not true!

Higher Commutators in Groups

$[A, B]$ is the normal subgroup generated by the set
 $\{a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ for all normal subgroups A, B of \mathbf{G} .

Higher Commutators in Groups

$[A, B]$ is the normal subgroup generated by the set $\{a^{-1}b^{-1}ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ for all normal subgroups A, B of \mathbf{G} .

Proposition. (P. Mayr, 2009) Let $\mathbf{G} = (G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, 1)$ and $n \geq 2$. If N_1, \dots, N_n are normal subgroups of \mathbf{G} , then

$$[N_1, \dots, N_n] = \prod_{\pi \in S_n} [\dots [[N_{\pi(1)}, N_{\pi(2)}], N_{\pi(3)}], \dots, N_{\pi(n)}].$$

Higher Commutators in Rings

Proposition. Let $\mathbf{R} = (R, +, \cdot, -, 0)$ be a ring, let $n \geq 2$ and let J_1, \dots, J_n be ideals of \mathbf{R} . Then:

$$[J_1, \dots, J_n] = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} [\dots [[J_{\pi(1)}, J_{\pi(2)}], J_{\pi(3)}] \dots, J_{\pi(n)}].$$

Higher Commutators in Rings

Proposition. Let $\mathbf{R} = (R, +, \cdot, -, 0)$ be a ring, let $n \geq 2$ and let J_1, \dots, J_n be ideals of \mathbf{R} . Then:

$$[J_1, \dots, J_n] = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} [\dots [J_{\pi(1)}, J_{\pi(2)}], J_{\pi(3)}] \dots, J_{\pi(n)}].$$

Proposition. (P. Mayr, 2009) Let $\mathbf{R} = (R, +, \cdot, -, 0)$ be a ring, let $n \geq 1$ and let J_1, \dots, J_n be ideals of \mathbf{R} . Then:

$$[J_1, \dots, J_n] = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} J_{\pi(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot J_{\pi(n)}.$$

Important Example

Higher commutators can not be obtained by composing binary commutators in general!

Important Example

Higher commutators can not be obtained by composing binary commutators in general!

Example:

$$[V, [V, V]] \neq [V, V, V] \text{ for } \mathbf{V} = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4, +_4, 2xyz \rangle$$

Multilinear Expanded Groups

Definition. Let $(V, +, -, 0, F)$ be an expanded group and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. An operation $f : V^k \rightarrow V$ is called **multilinear** if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y + z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) =$$

$$= f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and all $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k, y, z \in V$.

Multilinear Expanded Groups

Definition. Let $(V, +, -, 0, F)$ be an expanded group and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. An operation $f : V^k \rightarrow V$ is called **multilinear** if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y + z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) =$$

$$= f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and all $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k, y, z \in V$.

Definition. For $k \geq 2$, a **multilinear expanded group of degree k** is an expanded group $(V, +, -, 0, F)$, where all $f \in F$ are multilinear operations and all operations have at most k arguments.

Commutator Algebra

Definition. For $n \geq 2$ we define \mathcal{L} to be the language with operation symbols f_2, \dots, f_n , where each f_i has arity i . We abbreviate $f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ by $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ for all $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

Commutator Algebra

Definition. For $n \geq 2$ we define \mathcal{L} to be the language with operation symbols f_2, \dots, f_n , where each f_i has arity i . We abbreviate $f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ by $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ for all $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

We define an algebra $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V})$ on the language \mathcal{L} whose universe is the set $\text{Id}\mathbf{V}$ such that:

$$f_k^{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V})}(A_1, \dots, A_k) := [A_1, \dots, A_k]$$

for each $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and for all $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \text{Id}\mathbf{V}$.

Higher Commutators in Multilinear Expanded Groups

Example: $t = \left[x_3, x_1, \left[x_4, \left[x_7, x_2 \right], \left[x_6, x_9, x_8 \right], x_{10} \right], x_5 \right]$

Higher Commutators in Multilinear Expanded Groups

$$\text{Example: } t = \left[x_3, x_1, \left[x_4, [x_7, x_2], [x_6, x_9, x_8], x_{10} \right], x_5 \right]$$

$$t^{l(\mathbf{v})}(A_1, \dots, A_{10}) = \left[A_3, A_1, \left[A_4, [A_7, A_2], [A_6, A_9, A_8], A_{10} \right], A_5 \right]$$

Higher Commutators in Multilinear Expanded Groups

$$\text{Example: } t = \left[x_3, x_1, \left[x_4, [x_7, x_2], [x_6, x_9, x_8], x_{10} \right], x_5 \right]$$

$$t^{l(\mathbf{V})}(A_1, \dots, A_{10}) = \left[A_3, A_1, \left[A_4, [A_7, A_2], [A_6, A_9, A_8], A_{10} \right], A_5 \right]$$

Theorem. [3] Let \mathbf{V} be a multilinear expanded group of degree k , let $n \geq 2$, and let A_1, \dots, A_n be ideals of \mathbf{V} . Let T be the set of those terms $t \in \mathcal{L}$ with the following properties:

Higher Commutators in Multilinear Expanded Groups

$$\text{Example: } t = \left[x_3, x_1, \left[x_4, [x_7, x_2], [x_6, x_9, x_8], x_{10} \right], x_5 \right]$$

$$t^{l(\mathbf{V})}(A_1, \dots, A_{10}) = \left[A_3, A_1, \left[A_4, [A_7, A_2], [A_6, A_9, A_8], A_{10} \right], A_5 \right]$$

Theorem. [3] Let \mathbf{V} be a multilinear expanded group of degree k , let $n \geq 2$, and let A_1, \dots, A_n be ideals of \mathbf{V} . Let T be the set of those terms $t \in \mathcal{L}$ with the following properties:

- In t , each of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n occurs exactly once.

Higher Commutators in Multilinear Expanded Groups

$$\text{Example: } t = \left[x_3, x_1, \left[x_4, [x_7, x_2], [x_6, x_9, x_8], x_{10} \right], x_5 \right]$$

$$t^{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V})}(A_1, \dots, A_{10}) = \left[A_3, A_1, \left[A_4, [A_7, A_2], [A_6, A_9, A_8], A_{10} \right], A_5 \right]$$

Theorem. [3] Let \mathbf{V} be a multilinear expanded group of degree k , let $n \geq 2$, and let A_1, \dots, A_n be ideals of \mathbf{V} . Let \mathcal{T} be the set of those terms $t \in \mathcal{L}$ with the following properties:

- In t , each of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n occurs exactly once.
- t contains only operation symbols in $\{f_i \mid i \leq k\}$.

Higher Commutators in Multilinear Expanded Groups

$$\text{Example: } t = \left[x_3, x_1, \left[x_4, [x_7, x_2], [x_6, x_9, x_8], x_{10} \right], x_5 \right]$$

$$t^{l(\mathbf{V})}(A_1, \dots, A_{10}) = \left[A_3, A_1, \left[A_4, [A_7, A_2], [A_6, A_9, A_8], A_{10} \right], A_5 \right]$$

Theorem. [3] Let \mathbf{V} be a multilinear expanded group of degree k , let $n \geq 2$, and let A_1, \dots, A_n be ideals of \mathbf{V} . Let T be the set of those terms $t \in \mathcal{L}$ with the following properties:

- In t , each of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n occurs exactly once.
- t contains only operation symbols in $\{f_i \mid i \leq k\}$.

Then $[A_1, \dots, A_n]$ is the join of all ideals

$$\{t^{l(\mathbf{V})}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \mid t \in T\}.$$

Abelian, Nilpotent, Supernilpotent

Definition. An algebra is called Abelian, if $[1, 1] = 0$.

Abelian, Nilpotent, Supernilpotent

Definition. An algebra is called Abelian, if $[1, 1] = 0$.

Definition. An algebra is called nilpotent, if $[[[1, 1], 1], \dots, 1] = 0$.

Abelian, Nilpotent, Supernilpotent

Definition. An algebra is called Abelian, if $[1, 1] = 0$.

Definition. An algebra is called nilpotent, if $[[[1, 1], 1], \dots, 1] = 0$.

Definition. An algebra is called supernilpotent, if there exists a $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_{k+1} = 0.$$

Abelian, Nilpotent, Supernilpotent

Definition. An algebra is called Abelian, if $[1, 1] = 0$.

Definition. An algebra is called nilpotent, if $[[[1, 1], 1], \dots, 1] = 0$.

Definition. An algebra is called supernilpotent, if there exists a $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_{k+1} = 0.$$

An algebra is k -supernilpotent if k is the smallest natural number with the property: $\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_{k+1} = 0$.

Variety of Supernilpotent Algebras

Theorem. (E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski - unpublished) The class of all k -supernilpotent algebras is a variety for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Variety of Supernilpotent Algebras

Theorem. (E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski - unpublished) The class of all k -supernilpotent algebras is a variety for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Abelian Algebras \subseteq Supernilpotent Algebras \subseteq Nilpotent Algebras

Variety of Supernilpotent Algebras

Theorem. (E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski - unpublished) The class of all k -supernilpotent algebras is a variety for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Abelian Algebras \subseteq Supernilpotent Algebras \subseteq Nilpotent Algebras

It is real inequality in general!

Variety of Supernilpotent Algebras

Theorem. (E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski - unpublished) The class of all k -supernilpotent algebras is a variety for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Abelian Algebras \subseteq Supernilpotent Algebras \subseteq Nilpotent Algebras

It is real inequality in general!

Example: Algebra $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbb{Z}_6, +_6, f)$ where

$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is nilpotent but not supernilpotent!

Variety of Supernilpotent Algebras

Theorem. (E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski - unpublished) The class of all k -supernilpotent algebras is a variety for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Abelian Algebras \subseteq Supernilpotent Algebras \subseteq Nilpotent Algebras

It is real inequality in general!

Example: Algebra $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbb{Z}_6, +_6, f)$ where

$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is nilpotent but not supernilpotent!

Theorem. [2] Let \mathbf{A} be a finite nilpotent algebra of finite type that generates a congruence modular variety. Then, \mathbf{A} factors as a direct product of algebras of prime power cardinality if and only if \mathbf{A} is a supernilpotent Mal'cev algebra.

Supernilpotent in Multilinear Expanded Groups

Theorem. [3] Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let \mathbf{V} be a multilinear expanded group of degree n that is nilpotent of class k . Then, \mathbf{V} is n^k -supernilpotent.

Polynomial Completeness in Groups

Problem: Decide whether arbitrary function of \mathbf{A} is a polynomial of \mathbf{A} .

Polynomial Completeness in Groups

Problem: Decide whether arbitrary function of \mathbf{A} is a polynomial of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem. (P. Mayr, 2009) Let \mathbf{G} be a finite group all whose Sylow subgroups are abelian. Then $f : G^k \rightarrow G$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is polynomial iff f preserves all subgroups of $\mathbf{G}^{\max\{4, |G|\}}$ that contain $\{(g, \dots, g) \in G^{\max\{4, |G|\}} \mid g \in G\}$.

Polynomial Interpolation in Rings

Approach: We check whether f can be interpolated by a polynomial function in arbitrarily many places.

Polynomial Interpolation in Rings

Approach: We check whether f can be interpolated by a polynomial function in arbitrarily many places.

Theorem. (P. Mayr, 2009) Let \mathbf{R} be a finite local ring with 1, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that Jacobson radical J satisfies $J^{n+1} = 0$. Then a function $f : R^k \rightarrow R$ is a polynomial on \mathbf{R} iff for all $S \subseteq R^k$ with $|S| \leq |R|^n$ there exists a polynomial function p on \mathbf{R} such that $f|_S = p|_S$.

Decidability of Affine Completeness

Definition. An algebra \mathbf{A} is k -affine complete if every k -ary function on A that preserves congruences of \mathbf{A} is a polynomial.

Decidability of Affine Completeness

Definition. An algebra \mathbf{A} is k -affine complete if every k -ary function on A that preserves congruences of \mathbf{A} is a polynomial.

An algebra \mathbf{A} is affine complete if it is k -affine complete for every $k \geq 1$.

Decidability of Affine Completeness

Definition. An algebra \mathbf{A} is k -affine complete if every k -ary function on A that preserves congruences of \mathbf{A} is a polynomial.

An algebra \mathbf{A} is affine complete if it is k -affine complete for every $k \geq 1$.

Theorem. [2] There is an algorithm that decides whether a finite nilpotent algebra of finite type that is a product of algebras of prime power order and generates a congruence modular variety is affine complete.

Identity Checking Problem

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra.

- Given: s and t arbitrary polynomial terms of \mathbf{A}

Identity Checking Problem

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra.

- Given: s and t arbitrary polynomial terms of \mathbf{A}
- Do s and t induce the same polynomial functions on \mathbf{A} ?

Identity Checking Problem

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra.

- Given: s and t arbitrary polynomial terms of \mathbf{A}
- Do s and t induce the same polynomial functions on \mathbf{A} ?

Theorem. [2] The polynomial equivalence problem for a finite nilpotent algebra \mathbf{A} of finite type that is a product of algebras of prime power order and generates a congruence modular variety has polynomial time complexity in the length of the input terms.

Constantive Mal'cev Clones

Definition. A polynomial Mal'cev clone is a clone that contains a Mal'cev term and all constant operations.

Constantive Mal'cev Clones

Definition. A polynomial Mal'cev clone is a clone that contains a Mal'cev term and all constant operations.

$\text{Inv}^k(A, \text{Pol } \mathbf{A})$ is the set of all at most k -ary relations on the set A that are invariant under all polynomial functions of \mathbf{A} .

Constantive Mal'cev Clones

Definition. A polynomial Mal'cev clone is a clone that contains a Mal'cev term and all constant operations.

$\text{Inv}^k(A, \text{Pol } \mathbf{A})$ is the set of all at most k -ary relations on the set A that are invariant under all polynomial functions of \mathbf{A} .

If R is a set of relations on A , we denote the set of all the operations on A that preserve all relations from the set R by $\text{Comp}(A, R)$.

Finitely Related

Theorem. [1] Let \mathbf{A} be a finite Mal'cev algebra. If there exists an $n \geq 2$ such that $\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_n = 0$, then

$$\text{Pol } \mathbf{A} = \text{Comp}(A, \text{Inv}^{|A|^n}(A, \text{Pol } \mathbf{A})).$$

Finitely Related

Theorem. [1] Let \mathbf{A} be a finite Mal'cev algebra. If there exists an $n \geq 2$ such that $\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_n = 0$, then

$$\text{Pol } \mathbf{A} = \text{Comp}(A, \text{Inv}^{|A|^n}(A, \text{Pol } \mathbf{A})).$$

Theorem. [1] Let \mathbf{A} be a finite Mal'cev algebra whose congruence lattice is of height at most two. We define $n \geq 2$ to be the smallest natural number such that $\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_n = 0$ if such n exists,

otherwise $n := 1$. Then,

$$\text{Pol } \mathbf{A} = \text{Comp}(A, \text{Inv}^{\max\{4, |A|, |A|^n\}}(A, \text{Pol } \mathbf{A})).$$

Gumm's Theorem

Theorem. (H.P.Gumm) Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra. Then \mathbf{A} is Abelian iff there exist a ring R and \mathbf{A} is polynomially equivalent to a left R -module.

Gumm's Theorem

Theorem. (H.P.Gumm) Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra. Then \mathbf{A} is Abelian iff there exist a ring R and \mathbf{A} is polynomially equivalent to a left R -module.

Question: Is there a similar characterization for supernilpotent Mal'cev algebras?

Gumm's Theorem

Theorem. (H.P.Gumm) Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra. Then \mathbf{A} is Abelian iff there exist a ring R and \mathbf{A} is polynomially equivalent to a left R -module.

Question: Is there a similar characterization for supernilpotent Mal'cev algebras?

Theorem. Let \mathbf{A} be an n -supernilpotent Mal'cev algebra. Then the polynomial clone of \mathbf{A} is generated by all polynomials of arity at most $n - 1$ and the Mal'cev term.

Special 4-ary Relations

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra, m a Mal'cev polynomial on \mathbf{A} and $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$

$$\rho(\alpha, \beta, \eta, m) := \{(a, b, c, d) \in A^4 \mid a \equiv b \pmod{\alpha}, \\ b \equiv c \pmod{\beta}, \\ m(a, b, c) \equiv d \pmod{\eta}\}$$

Special 4-ary Relations

Definition. Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra, m a Mal'cev polynomial on \mathbf{A} and $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha, \beta, \eta, m) := \{ & (a, b, c, d) \in A^4 \mid a \equiv b \pmod{\alpha}, \\ & b \equiv c \pmod{\beta}, \\ & m(a, b, c) \equiv d \pmod{\eta} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cen}(\mathbf{A}, m) := \{ \rho(\alpha, \beta, \eta, m) \mid \alpha \text{ centralizes } \beta \text{ modulo } \eta \}$$

Commutator Preserving Functions

Lemma. Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra, m a Mal'cev polynomial on \mathbf{A} and $f : A^k \rightarrow A$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is a commutator preserving function of \mathbf{A}

Commutator Preserving Functions

Lemma. Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra, m a Mal'cev polynomial on \mathbf{A} and $f : A^k \rightarrow A$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is a commutator preserving function of \mathbf{A}
- (2) f preserves all relations in $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ and $\text{Cen}(\mathbf{A}, m)$

Commutator Preserving Functions

Lemma. Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra, m a Mal'cev polynomial on \mathbf{A} and $f : A^k \rightarrow A$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is a commutator preserving function of \mathbf{A}
- (2) f preserves all relations in $\text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ and $\text{Cen}(\mathbf{A}, m)$

Corollary. Let \mathbf{A} be a Mal'cev algebra and m a Mal'cev polynomial on \mathbf{A} . Then all commutator preserving functions of A form a clone.

Some Open Problems

Is it the same true for higher commutators:

Do the functions that preserve the higher commutators of a Mal'cev algebra form a clone?

Some Open Problems

Is it the same true for higher commutators:

Do the functions that preserve the higher commutators of a Mal'cev algebra form a clone?

Is there a generalization of the set of relations $\text{Cen}(\mathbf{A}, m)$ for higher commutators?

Partial solution in Expanded Groups

Theorem. (E.Aichinger, N.Mudrinski - unpublished) Let $\mathbf{V} = (V, +, -, 0, F)$ be the expanded group such that $(V, +)$ is an Abelian group and $\text{Con}\mathbf{V}$ is the three element chain $\{0, \alpha, 1\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

Partial solution in Expanded Groups

Theorem. (E.Aichinger, N.Mudrinski - unpublished) Let $\mathbf{V} = (V, +, -, 0, F)$ be the expanded group such that $(V, +)$ is an Abelian group and $\text{Con}\mathbf{V}$ is the three element chain $\{0, \alpha, 1\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) $[1, 1, 1] = 0$

Partial solution in Expanded Groups

Theorem. (E.Aichinger, N.Mudrinski - unpublished) Let $\mathbf{V} = (V, +, -, 0, F)$ be the expanded group such that $(V, +)$ is an Abelian group and $\text{Con}\mathbf{V}$ is the three element chain $\{0, \alpha, 1\}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $[1, 1, 1] = 0$
- (2) for all $f \in \text{Pol}(\mathbf{V})$, f preserves ρ where

$$\rho = \{(v_1, \dots, v_8) \mid \begin{aligned} -v_1 + v_4 - v_5 + v_8 &\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha} \\ -v_1 + v_2 - v_7 + v_8 &\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha} \\ -v_1 + v_2 - v_3 + v_4 &\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha} \\ v_1 - v_2 + v_3 - v_4 + v_5 - v_6 + v_7 - v_8 &= 0 \end{aligned}\}.$$

Congruence Modular Varieties

Generalize Bulatov's definition to congruence modular varieties.

Congruence Modular Varieties

Generalize Bulatov's definition to congruence modular varieties.

What among the properties (HC1)-(HC8) are true?

Congruence Modular Varieties

Generalize Bulatov's definition to congruence modular varieties.

What among the properties (HC1)-(HC8) are true?

Proposition. If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence modular variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

Congruence Modular Varieties

Generalize Bulatov's definition to congruence modular varieties.

What among the properties (HC1)-(HC8) are true?

Proposition. If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence modular variety then

$$\text{(HC1)} \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$\text{(HC2)} \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

Congruence Modular Varieties

Generalize Bulatov's definition to congruence modular varieties.

What among the properties (HC1)-(HC8) are true?

Proposition. If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence modular variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

$$(HC3) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$$

Congruence Modular Varieties

Generalize Bulatov's definition to congruence modular varieties.

What among the properties (HC1)-(HC8) are true?

Proposition. If \mathbf{A} is in a congruence modular variety then

$$(HC1) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$(HC2) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \Rightarrow [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n]$$

$$(HC3) \quad [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \leq [\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n]$$

Open: (HC4)-(HC8)?

Maximality

Theorem. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence modular variety. Then binary commutator operation $[,] : \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \times \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is the largest operation that satisfies:

- $[\alpha, \beta] \leq \alpha \wedge \beta$
- $[(\alpha \vee \theta)/\theta, (\beta \vee \theta)/\theta] = ([\alpha, \beta] \vee \theta)/\theta.$

Maximality

Theorem. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence modular variety. Then binary commutator operation $[\cdot, \cdot] : \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \times \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is the largest operation that satisfies:

- $[\alpha, \beta] \leq \alpha \wedge \beta$
- $[(\alpha \vee \theta)/\theta, (\beta \vee \theta)/\theta] = ([\alpha, \beta] \vee \theta)/\theta.$

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence permutable variety.

Maximality

Theorem. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence modular variety. Then binary commutator operation $[\cdot, \cdot] : \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \times \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is the largest operation that satisfies:

- $[\alpha, \beta] \leq \alpha \wedge \beta$
- $[(\alpha \vee \theta)/\theta, (\beta \vee \theta)/\theta] = ([\alpha, \beta] \vee \theta)/\theta.$

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence permutable variety.

Question: Is the n -ary commutator operation $[\cdot, \dots, \cdot] : (\text{Con } \mathbf{A})^n \rightarrow \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ the largest operation that satisfies (HC1) and (HC6)?

Maximality

Theorem. (R.Freese, R.N.McKenzie) Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence modular variety. Then binary commutator operation $[\] : \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \times \text{Con } \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ is the largest operation that satisfies:

- $[\alpha, \beta] \leq \alpha \wedge \beta$
- $[(\alpha \vee \theta)/\theta, (\beta \vee \theta)/\theta] = ([\alpha, \beta] \vee \theta)/\theta.$

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra in congruence permutable variety.

Question: Is the n -ary commutator operation $[\dots] : (\text{Con } \mathbf{A})^n \rightarrow \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ the largest operation that satisfies (HC1) and (HC6)?

Is it true in congruence modular varieties?

- [1] E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski, *Polynomial clones of Mal'cev algebras with small congruence lattices*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **126**, no. 4, 315-333 (2010)
- [2] E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski, *Some applications of higher commutators in Mal'cev algebras*, Algebra Universalis **63**, no. 4, 367-403 (2010)
- [3] E. Aichinger, N. Mudrinski, *On determining the higher commutator operation in certain classes of expanded groups*, submitted